



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,627	03/03/2000	Paul R Fletcher	07703-245004	5926
7:	590 02/12/2002			
Stephan J Filipek			EXAMINER	
Fish & Richard 45 Rockefeller	·····		BARTUSKA, FRANCIS JOHN	
New York, NY	10111		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2167	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	e th
Office Action Summary	Examiner 2	Art Unit	2 May
	F.J. BART	NX4 2/6/	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	ress
Period for Reply	TUDE	E	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (nt, however, may a reply be	timely filed
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day		utory minimum of thirty (30)	days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will	expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	m the mailing date of this
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b			_
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e mailing date of this con	nmunication, even if timely f	led, may reduce any
Status	100 3 7	- 0	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	AR. 3, 2	000	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal mararte Quayle, 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to t D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is
Disposition of Claims		-	
41 Claim(s) $1-24$ AND	36-55	is/are pending	in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			vn from consideratio
5) Claim(s) 10, 16, 17, 21 AND	39-5	s/are allo	owed.
6) Claim(s) 1-9, 11-15, 18-6	20 22-249	<u> 136–38</u> is/are reje	ected.
7) Claim(s)		is/are obj	ected to.
8) Claims	are su	bject to restriction and/o	r election requirement
Application Papers			
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the	Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: aD	approved b) disapp	roved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		•	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	191 Interview Summer	IRTO 413) Roper Note)	

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-15, 18-20, 22-24 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Leibu. Leibu shows a coin mechanism controller 40 which receives change dispense signals including number and denomination of the coins and re-determines the number and denomination of the coins given as change.
- 3. The patent to Leibu cannot be overcome by an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 but only through interference proceedings. The applicant is advised that an affidavit under 37 CFR 1.608(b) or evidence *and* an explanation 37 CFR 1.608(b), as appropriate, must be submitted.

Art Unit: 2167

Interference

- 4. Receipt is acknowledged of the Request for Interference including the Statement of Compliance with 37 CFR 1.607(a) and the Statement under 37 CFR 1.608(b). A interference has not been declared because the statements under 37 CFR 1.607(a) and 37 CFR 1.608(b) are directed to proposed counts that are not patentable and therefore it has not been established that the showing under 37 CFR 1.608(b) would *prima facie* entitle the applicant to a judgement relative to the patentee.
- 5. 37 CFR 1.601(f) requires that each count must be a separate patentable invention. MPEP 2305 states that the count must be patentable over the prior art.

Proposed count 1 is not patentable over British publication 2269258 which shows coin tubes 22, 24 and 26, a dispenser 28 for dispensing coins from the coin tubes and a processor 50 coupled to the dispenser and the automatic transaction system to receive change

Art Unit: 2167

dispense signals and accumulate a value corresponding to the received signals and to cause coins to be dispensed.

Proposed count 2 is not patentable over British publication 2269258 which shows a coin mechanism which is capable of connection to a three coin tube arrangement or a four coin tube arrangement. Fig. 1 and page 9, line 26 disclose a three coin tube arrangement and page 7, line 8 and page 14, lines 17 and 18 disclose a four tube arrangement.

The examiner does not see any reason why claim 15 or 19 of the Leibu patent could not be the count. The examiner does not believe that there are two separate patentable inventions to support two counts. All of applicants' claims 1-9, 11-15, 18-20, 22-24 and 36-38 would be unpatentable over any claim of Leibu that would be the count.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. J. Bartuska whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111. The examiner can normally

Art Unit: 2167

be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

∥ F. J. BARTUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINEF